

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By: Wan Stra

Date: November 25, 2003

<u>UNITED STATES IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</u>

Applic. No.

10/673,967

Applicant

Martin Dust

Filed

September 29, 2003

Art Unit

to be assigned

Examiner

to be assigned

Docket No.

MOH-P010006

Customer No. :

24131

LETTER

Hon. Commissioner for Patents

Sir:

Enclosed please find a copy of the English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report for the above-identified application. Please enter it into the file.

Respectfully submitted,

For Applicant

WERNER H. STEMER

REG. NO. 34,956

Date: November 25, 2003

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.

Post Office Box 2480

Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101

/bmb

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SN/P010006WO	FOR FURTHER ACT		cation of Transmittal of Internation Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/EP02/02236	International filing date 01 March 2002		Priority date (day/month/year) 28 March 2001 (28.03.01)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na G01B 17/02	itional classification and	IPC	
Applicant	FRAMATOME	ANP GMBH	
This international preliminary exar Authority and is transmitted to the approximately	nination report has bee	n prepared by this icle 36.	International Preliminary Examining
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	4 sheets, i	ncluding this cover	sheet.
This report is also accompan been amended and are the bacsee Rule 70.16 and Section	asis for this report and/or	sheets containing r	otion, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).
These annexes consist of a to	otal ofsh	ieets.	
3. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following item	ns:	
I Basis of the report			•
II Priority			
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard t	o novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of in	vention		
V Reasoned statemen	nt under Article 35(2) with nations supporting such	th regard to novelty, statement	inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents	cited		
VII Certain defects in t	the international applicat	ion	
VIII Certain observation	ns on the international ap	plication	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report
24 September 2002 (24.	09.02)	24	4 June 2003 (24.06.2003)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.	**	Telephone No.	

Translation

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP02/02236

				' and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):
	the international	l application as	originally filed.	
\boxtimes	the description,	pages	1-5	_, as originally filed,
		pages		_, filed with the demand,
		pages		, filed with the letter of
		pages		, filed with the letter of
\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos.	1,2	, as originally filed,
		Nos		, as amended under Article 19,
				, filed with the demand,
		Nos.		, filed with the letter of
		Nos		, filed with the letter of
\boxtimes	the drawings,	sheets/fig	1/5-5/5	, as originally filed,
	•			, filed with the demand,
		sheets/fig		
		sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of
. The amer	the description,		······································	
. The amer	the description,	Nos	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· -
. The amer	the description,	Nos		-
	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been o	Nossheets/fig	f (some of) the a	-
	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been o	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	- - mendments had not been made, since they have been considered
Th to	the description, the claims, the drawings, is report has been a go beyond the disc al observations, if r	Nossheets/fig established as if losure as filed,	f (some of) the a	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 02/02236

NO

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 2	YES			
	Claims		NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1, 2	YES			
	Claims		NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1, 2	YES			
	Claims		NO.			

2. Citations and explanations

> The invention according to the single independent Claim 1 relates to a process for the ultrasonic measurement of the thickness of a partial layer in a multilayered structure with reduced boundary surface reflection. For this purpose, generally a plurality of ultrasound blasts are superposed, as has already occurred in Figures 2 and 3 of the application; see page 4, lines 21-24. It is from this point that the invention according to Claim 1 proceeds in that a plurality of wall thickness echo periods from different running periods are superposed. appears to be non-obvious since a person skilled in the art in March 2001 would merely use conventional blast superposition. Consequently, Claims 1 and 2 are considered to satisfy the criteria according to PCT Article 33(2-4). Claim 1 indicates a plurality of original pulses; however, only one of them is used. superposition appears to be necessary. PCT Article 6.